Acute-on-chronic liver failure: Pathogenesis, prognostic factors and management
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Abstract
Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is increasingly recognized as a complex syndrome that is reversible in many cases. It is characterized by an acute deterioration of liver function in the background of a pre-existing chronic liver disease often associated with a high short-term mortality rate. Organ failure (OF) is always associated, and plays a key role in determining the course, and the outcome of the disease. The definition of ACLF remains controversial due to its overall ambiguity, with several disparate criteria among various associations dedicated to the study of liver diseases. Although the precise pathogenesis needs to be clarified, it appears that an altered host response to injury might be a contributing factor caused by immune dysfunction, ultimately leading to a pro-inflammatory status, and eventually to OF. The PIRO concept (Predisposition, Insult, Response and Organ Failure) has been proposed to better approach the underlying mechanisms. It is accepted that ACLF is a different and specific form of liver failure, where a precipitating event is always involved, even though it cannot always be ascertained. According to several studies, infections and active alcoholism often trigger ACLF. Viral hepatitis, gastrointestinal haemorrhage, or drug induced liver injury, which can also provoke the syndrome. This review mainly focuses on the physiopathology and prognostic aspects. We believe these features are essential to further understanding and providing the rationale for improved disease management strategies.
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Core tip: Acute-on-chronic liver failure is a newly recognized syndrome characterized by acute deterioration of a compensated or decompensated chronic liver
disease, leading to organ failure, and a mortality rate $\geq 15\%$ at 28-d. Pathogenesis involves an exaggerated systemic inflammatory response in the setting of immune dysregulation and oxidative stress. Alcohol is a frequent precipitating factor seen most commonly in the West, and untreated hepatitis B virus infection is more prevalently seen in the East. However, it must be noted, that specific precipitant factors cannot be established in up to the 40% of cases. Recent prospective work has generated data on definition, prevalence, precipitating factors and scoring systems. Treatment of precipitant factors, complications, organ failure support, and liver transplantation are the current therapeutic options.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, a new clinical form of liver failure has been recognised. Traditionally there were two types of liver failure: Acute liver failure (ALF), a rapid deterioration of the liver function in the absence of pre-existing liver disease, in the setting of an acute hepatic insult and chronic liver failure (CLF), a progressive and slow deterioration over the course of pre-existing end-stage liver disease$^[1-4]$. In 1995, a third type of liver failure was first described$^[5]$. Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF). This new entity is characterised by acute complications of compensated or even decompensated cirrhosis and is characterised by a high rate of organ/system failure(s), and a high short-term mortality rate ($> 15\%$ at 28-d). Over the last decade, many definitions have been proposed, based on expert’s opinion rather than on evidence-based data. The heterogeneity of definitions illustrates the differences in underlying aetiologies of liver disease between Eastern and Western countries$^[6-9]$. The Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL) defines ACLF as an “Acute hepatic insult manifesting as jaundice and coagulopathy, complicated within 4 wk by ascites and/or encephalopathy in a patient with previously diagnosed or undiagnosed chronic liver disease”$^[10]$. Whereas, the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD)/as well as the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) consensus defines it as: “Acute deterioration of pre-existing, chronic liver disease (CLD), usually related to a precipitating event and associated with increased mortality at 3 mo due to multi-system organ failure”$^[11,12]$. Given the lack of consensus among researchers, a group of investigators from the EASL-Chronic Liver Failure (CLIF) Consortium, undertook a prospective

multicenter study in patients with cirrhosis suffering from acute decompensation (AD). The study identified patients with cirrhosis at a high risk of short term mortality. The study also aimed to develop a definition of ACLF. This large study was called EASL-CLIF Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure in Cirrhosis (CANONIC)$^[12]$. Based on data analysis obtained from 1343 hospitalized patients with cirrhosis and AD, at 29 liver units in 8 European countries this study established diagnostic criteria for ACLF. This study also permitted to know prevalence, precipitating factors, pathogenic mechanism and the phenotypic features of patients with ACLF.

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA OF ACLF

In the CANONIC study, the overall prevalence of ACLF was 30.9%. The definition of the ACLF diagnostic criteria was based on the presence of the 3 key characteristics of the syndrome: (1) AD: defined by acute development of large volume ascites, hepatic encephalopathy (HE), gastrointestinal haemorrhage, bacterial infections, or a combination of any of these$^[4,13-16]$. In other words, the acute development of at least one of these major complications of liver disease must be present; (2) organ Failure: defined by a modified SOFA scale (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment) the CLIF-SOFA scale that takes into account some specificities of cirrhosis (Table 1)$^[17-21]$, and (3) short-term mortality (28-d) at least 15%$^[12]$. According to these characteristics, patients admitted to the hospital for an AD can be classified into 4 groups (Table 2). However, the majority of the patients did not have ACLF (77.5%). The Figure 1 summarizes the mortality rate according to the ACLF subtype.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

PIRO concept (predisposition, injury, response and organ failure)

The PIRO model is a useful approach in understanding the clinical sequence of the ACLF. It also consists of a scoring system that classifies severity, estimates risk, stratification, and prognosis in critically ill patients. Initially postulated in 1900, and later modeled by Marshall et al$^[22]$ and Levy et al$^[23]$ the PIRO score was designed originally to measure the clinical features and outcomes in sepsis. The PIRO concept arises from the comprehensive examination of ACLF as a severe liver dysfunction, linked to other organ failure, as a strong and characteristic response to an insult that might be identified as an aggression within an underlying CLD that predisposes the whole situation$^[22]$. It is proposed that organ dysfunction is the most predictive item among the four PIRO factors as it predicts 28-d mortality and multiple organ dysfunction$^[24]$. Taking into account the great capacity of this concept to summarize and breakdown the physiopathology of ACLF, it has been proposed to also explain the cascade
Bacterial, fungal or viral primary infection can lead to systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) that has the potential to cause acute liver failure. In the CANONIC study, the principal infections related to ACLF were spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) and pneumonia\(^{12}\).

Among the non-infective precipitating events, alcoholic hepatitis is one of the most common causes\(^{12}\). In the CANONIC study, one of the main predisposing events of ACLF was active alcoholism during the previous 3 mo (about 25%). Other situations described as precipitating events were less frequent (about 8%), and included acute toxic hepatitis, major surgery, or TIPS insertion. Paracentesis without adequate albumin replacement, has been reported as worsen\(^{12,25}\). However, in 40% of cases an obvious precipitating event could not be identified\(^{12}\).

### Host response

Host response is probably the leading factor in determining the severity of the ACLF and its prognostic outcome. The extension and range of inflammatory activation may result in the development of SIRS, characterized by a strong pro-inflammatory status (despite of an impairment of immune response) that can lead to ALF, and dysfunction in other organs.

### Role of inflammatory response: The host immune response and the inflammatory cascade take especially high importance in this syndrome. The similarity between the SIRS produced by sepsis, and ACLF suggests that both entities share common pathogenic mechanisms. In SIRS there is an activation of the immune system relating leukocytes, endothelial cells, monocyte/macrophages, cytokines, enzymes, chemotactic mediators, and adhesion molecules overproduction. In this state, hepatocytes are believed to result in sensitized tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-induced apoptosis\(^{39}\).

Comparing septic patients to ACLF patients, Wasmuth et al\(^{39}\) formulated the concept of "sepsis- like immune paralysis" based on a profoundly decreased production of facts in this entity.

### Predisposition

Almost any kind of CLD can be a main predisposing factor on its own. In the Western countries, alcoholic cirrhosis is the cause of 50%-70% of all predisposing liver diseases of ACLF, comparing to the 10%-30% caused by chronic viral infection. In the Eastern countries hepatitis B virus (HBV) accounts for 70%, and only 15% is related to alcohol\(^{6,10}\). Nevertheless, some widespread infections like simple steatosis are not included as an underlying factor, whereas non-alcoholic steatohepatitis is. Also, metabolic and cholestatic liver diseases constitute part of susceptibility of the ACLF. This status of chronic liver impairment predisposes not only to an altered pro-inflammatory situation based on elevated serum cytokines, but also to a dysfunction in cellular immune system, reticulo-endothelial, and impairment in the bacterial translocation defense system\(^{25}\).

### Insult

Similarly to sepsis syndrome, infection may play a major role in triggering the whole inflammatory response. In the Asian continent HBV reactivation is one of the principal causes of ACLF. Other hepatotropic viruses like virus C reactivation might also provoke this failure\(^{26}\). In India, superimposed hepatitis E has been described as a major precipitant of ACLF\(^{27,28}\).
of TNF-α and low monocyte HLA-DR expression in both groups. He postulated that this cellular immune impairment could contribute to increased mortality.

Endotoxins have also been proposed to play a role in mediating the full activation of neutrophils, which paradoxically would render them unable to act against the insult. An enhanced pro-inflammatory cytokine environment was proved present in ACLF, as compared with cirrhosis alone. As ACLF is a pro-inflammatory state, it could result in chronically primed neutrophils, but in a deleterious form that might cause functional failure in phagocytosis due to a continuous energy depletion, which would prevent them from fighting against further infections.

The role that cytokines play in ACLF remains a key point in the pathogenesis of the inflammatory response. Elevated serum levels of many cytokines including TNF-α, sTNF-αR1, sTNF-αR2, interleukin (IL)-2, IL-2R, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and interferon-α has been described. In particular IL-6 and TNF-α had been proposed to have a dual action, producing hepatocyte death and also enhancing hepatocyte proliferation through a complex interplay with Kupffer cells (KCs) and hepatocytes.

The mechanism in the rise of cytokines can be related to necrotic liver cells, decreased hepatic clearance or, probably the most important, activation of toll-like receptors (TLRs). These receptors activate KCs. Causing KCs to change into M1 pro-inflammatory macrophages. TLRs have the capacity to interact with many different agents, recognizing multiple molecular patterns in pathogen or damage- associated pathways. KCs play a key role in liver injury, as they internalize ligands and activate the signaling cascades, transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and superoxide agents. This promotes oxidative stress and releases proteolytic enzymes, vasoactive substances such as endothelin-1 (ET-1), thromboxane A₂, nitric oxide (NO), and prostaglandins, thereby contributing to microcirculatory dysfunction.

This entire cascade eventually leads to hepatocyte death and liver dysfunction. Hepatocyte apoptosis rather than necrosis seems to be the predominant mode of cell death in ACLF, as high levels of the apoptosis marker cytokeratin M30 occurs in ACLF patients. Nevertheless, both paths are not mutually exclusive and the concept of “necroapoptosis” is only as of late been proposed. Also, the same patient can present both forms of cell damage dynamically.

Role of bacterial infection

Though the precise mechanisms involved in ACLF have yet to be clarified, the immune system seems to be play a predominant role in the setting of cirrhosis, which paradoxically is one of the most common forms of immunodeficiency. The homeostatic role of the liver in the systemic immune response is well known. This role is defined as “cirrhosis-associated immune dysfunction” which includes the main syndromic abnormalities of immune function, immunodeficiency, and systemic inflammation. This is a dynamic condition which leads to oscillation from predominantly pro-inflammatory to predominantly immunodeficient situations.

Immune dysfunction in cirrhosis is multifactorial and reflects a complex interaction between many systems, predisposing these patients to infections. It is thought that this susceptibility is not due to a sole responsible factor; rather than the concomitant presence of various facilitating mechanisms such as: portal hypertension with porto-systemic shunting (thus impairing detoxification and reticuloendothelial system phagocytic activity), increased gut permeability and bacterial overgrowth (all of them increases the risk of bacteremia and the occurrence of endotoxemia), albumin and lipoprotein dysfunction, or aberrant toll-like receptor expression in KCs.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  
**Figure 1** Mortality rates according to the grade of acute-on-chronic liver failure at 28 d and 90 d according to the grade of acute-on-chronic liver failure. Data from the CANONIC study. Mortality increases with the grade of ACLF, directly related to the type and number of organ failure. ACLF: Acute-on-chronic liver failure.
The presence of innate immune dysfunction in ACLF can be inferred from susceptibility to infections: 30% to 50% of cirrhotic patients presented bacterial infections upon their admission or during hospitalization. The most common bacterial infections are SBP (25%), urinary tract infections (20%), pneumonia (15%) and spontaneous bacteremia (12%). Clinical and biochemical parameters in bacterial infection were generally correlated with the severity of liver disease. Child-Pugh score (CPs) showed a predominance of class C in infected cirrhotic patients compared to non-infected ones.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF ORGANS FAILURE

**Hepato-adrenal axis failure**

Adrenal dysfunction is frequently reported in patients with CLD (compensated or decompensated), and severe sepsis (51%-68%), especially in patients with high CPs, model of end stage liver disease (MELD) scores, and hemodynamic instability, thereby reflecting a more advanced liver disease. Some hypotheses to explain adrenal dysfunction pathophysiology have been proposed, such as: decreased cholesterol levels, overstimulation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis by cytokines, and endotoxemia. However, the mechanism leading to adrenal insufficiency remains unclear.

This dysfunction called "hepato-adrenal syndrome", is associated with renal failure, hemodynamic instability, and increased mortality. Hydrocortisone administration can have initial favourable effects on hemodynamic parameters, but it has not been confirmed to improve the outcome.

Test to assess adrenal function and its interpretation in cirrhosis and ACLF is difficult due to the absence of consensus, and normal values of this test, therefore recommendations cannot be made.

**Pulmonary failure**

Respiratory failure can be classified in two types of complications. First, complications typically related to cirrhosis, like hepatic hydrothorax (that can become infected), portopulmonary hypertension, hepatopulmonary syndrome, and transfusion-related acute lung injury (among others). Secondly, infectious complications (which are the most common), like aspiration pneumonia. Bacterial respiratory tract infections in cirrhotic patients represent 14% to 48% of all bacterial infections. These patients are at increased risk of pneumonia due to unprotected airway from altered consciousness, increased intra-abdominal pressure from ascites, endoscopic procedures for gastrointestinal bleeding and increased risk of bacterial translocation because of excessive use of proton pump inhibitors.

The relevance of this OF, and its impact on mortality in ACLF, can be emphasized by its incorporation into the CLIF-SOFA score. Respiratory failure is defined in CLIF-SOFA by gasometric parameters, as a partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO2)/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) ratio of 200 or less, or a pulse oximetry saturation/FiO2 ratio of 200 or less.

**Haematological failure**

Failure in the coagulation system is defined in CLIF-SOFA as an international normalized ratio (INR) of 2.5 or more, or a platelet count < 20000/μL.

Patients with liver disease are in a state of "rebalanced haemostasis" which results in an increase of both pro-thrombotic and anti-thrombotic factors. As explained above, in ACLF the inflammatory process may trigger the "unstable balance" to any of these two states and may be manifested by either bleeding or thrombotic complications. Anti-thrombotic alterations are thrombocytopenia, abnormal platelet functions, deficiency in the coagulation factors (except for Factor Ⅱ), and increased fibrinolysis. On the other side of the balance, the pro-thrombotic state, which is manifested by a decrease of anti-coagulation and plasminogen, associated with an increase in the plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI), Von Willebrand factor and in factor Ⅴ. Summarizing, the most significant haematological abnormalities described in ACLF are defective platelet function and increased fibrinolysis.

Coagulopathy is worsened in sepsis by the presence of endogenous low-molecular weight heparinoids which disappear with resolution of infection. In addition, there is an increased risk of bleeding complications due to further increased portal pressure secondary to infections and may explain the beneficial role of antibiotics administration in reducing early variceal rebleeding. Standard laboratory values, such as the determination of the INR or the activated partial thromboplastin time, poorly reflect the pathophysiological changes in ACLF, therefore a deeper comprehension of underlying mechanisms is needed to guide correction of coagulation abnormalities on these patients.

**Neurological failure**

HE is a common manifestation of ACLF. Neurological failure is defined by CLIF-SOFA by the development of encephalopathy grade Ⅲ or Ⅳ. Local and systemic disturbances have been implicated in the development of this syndrome. Patients with HE show a functional derangement in the blood brain barrier leading to increased transport of neutral amino acids and reduced transport of basic amino acids. Elevated brain ammonia level and cerebral hemodynamic dysfunction are known to be the major etiological factors. Recent data suggest that in light of functional immunoparesis of patients with liver dysfunction, a poorly understood relationship between ammonia, inflammation and oxidative stress may underlie the HE pathogenesis. These alterations include...
abnormalities in neurotransmission [i.e., disturbances in aminobutyric acid (GABA) ergic systems], energy impairment (i.e., decrease in cerebral blood flow, inhibition of cerebral energy metabolism by ammonia), brain oedema (i.e., elevated ammonia levels, hypotension and neuro-inflammation (generation on nitric oxide, prostanooids, astrocytic swelling)

EH in the setting of ACLF have a different course from cirrhotic patients with AD but without ACLF[90]. Isolated EH usually develops in the context of long-term diuretic use, and is not associated to an impairment of liver function. The absence of significant inflammatory reaction and the low prevalence of organ’s failure relatively preserve good prognosis. By contrast, patients with HE associated with ACLF has an extremely poor survival rate, as a consequence of a generalized inflammatory reaction that may play a role in brain and other organs dysfunction. In addition to liver dysfunction, HE in the setting of ACLF is frequently associated with bacterial infections, active alcoholism or dilutional hyponatremia[91,92].

**Circulatory failure**

According to the CLIF-SOFA, patients requiring inotropic drugs are considered to present circulatory failure[6,12].

Mechanisms underlying haemodynamic and cardiac dysfunction in ACLF resembles closely to those in severe sepsis, as TNF and NO are increased and cortisol is decreased[93,94]. This circulatory dysfunction is typically characterised by an intense hyperdynamic state with the inability to obtain adequate perfusion pressure despite volume expansion and requirement of large doses of inotropic agents, with subsequent development of lactic acidosis[95-97]. The increased infections risk is often coupled to cardiac dysfunction. This situation may be aggravated by sepsis related to increased susceptibility to infections, by impairment in cardiac systolic and/or diastolic function or by the presence of hepatoadrenal syndrome[96,97]. It has been speculated that any acute inflammatory insult in patients with underlying cirrhotic cardiomyopathy may precipitate cardiovascular collapse[97]. In ACLF there is often incapacity to appropriately increase the cardiac output in response to the insult[98]. This finding is in contrast to decompensated cirrhosis, where cardiac output remains elevated, until advanced stages of liver disease, secondary to splanchic vasodilatation. This cardiovascular abnormality is associated with an increased risk of death, particularly in those patients who present with renal dysfunction[99]. Inotropic support is often needed, however the best therapeutic approach remains unclear[100].

**Kidney dysfunction**

Renal failure is defined by the CLIF-SOFA as a creatinine [≥ 2 mg/dL and the use of renal replacement therapy[12]. In the CANONIC study kidney failure was the most frequent OF for ACLF grades (55.8%), followed by liver, cerebral, and coagulation failures (43.6%, 27.7% and 24.1%, respectively)[121]. As shown, acute kidney injury (AKI) is a frequent and an important component of ACLF, as it is associated with poor prognosis[101-105]. Mortality is associated to the type and number of organs failure, and was higher in the subgroup of patients with single kidney failure than in those with involvement of other organs. A study including 562 hospitalised patients with cirrhosis, suggested that the most frequent causes of renal failure were related to bacterial infections (46%), hypovolaemia (32%), hepato-renal syndrome (HRS) (13%) and intrinsic renal failure (9%)[106]. Other studies support these results[107,109]. Renal failure may be categorized into four types: HRS, parenchymal disease, hypovolemia-induced and drug-induced renal failure[106,107,110]. Attributing the renal failure to a single mechanism in patients with multiorgan failure is usually difficult. There are many aetiologies of renal failure in patients with ACLF[111,112]. Prerenal factors are generally associated with renal hypoperfusion, which may be associated with intravascular volume depletion (haemorrhage, renal and gastro-intestinal fluid loss) or marked deterioration of effective arterial blood volume, leading to HRS[99]. Most intrarenal causes are related to ischemic acute tubular necrosis, also due to renal hypoperfusion[109,113].

Undoubtedly, systemic haemodynamics and cardiac dysfunction play an important role in the development of renal failure. Thus, in some patients the circulatory changes may predominate, whilst in other patients there may be increased synthesis of pro-inflammatory mediators (or both). SIRS has also been suggested to be involved, accompanying and aggravating the above mentioned mechanisms[114-116]. The benefit of the anti-inflammatory or immunomodulatory agents such as corticosteroids or pentoxifylline in the prevention of renal failure in patients with acute alcoholic hepatitis might support this observation[106,109,117,118].

**Liver failure**

Liver failure is defined by the CLIF-SOFA as a total bilirubin ≥ 12 mg/dL. The hallmark of the liver manifestation of ACLF is hyperbilirubinemia and coagulopathy[12].

Some lines of evidence suggest that the histopathological characteristics of the liver during ACLF will be determined by the underlying cause of cirrhosis and the nature of the precipitating event[6,25]. From the pathophysiological point of view, in ACLF there is a further exacerbation of haemodynamic derangements besides the already existing liver structural changes[6]. Liver inflammation has a capital importance on increased portal pressure[119]. Mechanisms proposed are changes in vascular smooth muscle cells, activation of hepatic stellate cells, reduced nitric oxide activity secondary to endothelial dysfunction and upregulation of sympathetic tone[113,120-123]. Another key component is angiogenesis, which plays an important role in
increasing intrahepatic resistance, and therefore in ACLF pathogenesis\textsuperscript{[124-127]}. It should be noted that according to definition on diagnostic criteria, differences in portal haemodynamics have been described. When ACLF was defined according to the APASL criteria no differences were observed in portal haemodynamics between decompensated cirrhosis and ACLF\textsuperscript{[128]}. However, using the EASL-AASLD definition, the portal pressure was markedly higher in those with ACLF portal pressure, in comparison to those with decompensated cirrhosis when ACLF was defined according to the AASLD/EASL definition\textsuperscript{[129]}. These results point to the need for cautious definition of the population studied.

SIRS and bilirubinostasis had been associated with an increased risk of subsequent infection\textsuperscript{[130-132]}. These infections begets a greater inflammatory response with aggravation in portal hypertension and further worsening of an already poor prognosis\textsuperscript{[80,133]}. This concept is supported by the reduction of portal pressure by antibiotics administration that modulates gut-derived endotoxemia and bacterial translocation\textsuperscript{[79,80,134]}. Another characteristic feature of liver dysfunction is coagulopathy. Coagulation tests are usually abnormal in cirrhotic patients due to impaired synthesis and increased consumption of coagulation factors (see haematological failure). Bleeding abnormalities and hyper-coagulability may coexist\textsuperscript{[70,81,82,107]}. Some studies suggested that APACHE-II is the best predictive scoring system, owing to the fact that in ACLF once liver failure is established the prognosis is determined by the degree of other organ dysfunction and not by the severity of liver failure\textsuperscript{[10,98,142,145]}. In some studies, MELD has been found to be a discrimination factor similar to SOFA and APACHE-II\textsuperscript{[146]}. The CLIF-SOFA also proved to be a strong predictor of short-term mortality but does not significantly improve the prediction accuracy of MELD and MELD-Na\textsuperscript{[18,19]}. Recently, based on data from the CANONIC study, a specific prognostic score for ACLF has been developed named the “CLIF-COSORTIUM score for ACLF” (CLIF-C ACLF score). This score is the result of combining “CLIF-Consortium Organ Failure (CLIF-C OF)” score (designed for the diagnosis of ACLF), and two other independent predictors of mortality (age and white-cell count)\textsuperscript{[7,135,147]}. This new score at ACLF diagnosis showed a significantly higher predictive accuracy than MELDs, MELD-Na and CPs\textsuperscript{[7,135]}. CLIF-C ACLF score has also been shown to be an independent predictor of course severity\textsuperscript{[46,148]}. Furthermore, ACLF has been shown to be dynamic process. In this connection, scoring taking into account dynamic changes, or improvement/impairment in the same score, have shown to predict outcomes\textsuperscript{[149,150]}. In this line, Kumar et al\textsuperscript{[151]} has demonstrated that any improvement in the MELD score over 2 wk suggests a good outcome.

A large number of studies have indicated that the greater the number of organ dysfunction or OF at diagnosis, the lower the ACLF patient survival\textsuperscript{[12,98,152,153]}. The basic mechanism is the importance of systemic inflammation on OF, and its impact on prognosis\textsuperscript{[122]}. Among these lines, ACLF mortality has been associated with loss of organ function (Higher CLIF-SOFA score), high leukocyte counts, and high C-reactive protein (CRP). ACLF is especially severe in patients with no prior history of AD, characterized by higher numbers of OF, higher levels of inflammatory mediators, leukocyte count and higher rates of mortality\textsuperscript{[12,154]}. Patients with ACLF are younger than those without, and age is associated with more vigorous immune response\textsuperscript{[154]}. These data sets do not coincide with the findings from Shi et al\textsuperscript{[155]} suggesting that ACLF patients with or without prior decompensation had comparable short-term prognosis, but the former group was characterized by increased delayed mortality.
cause ACLF, or, even more importantly, on avoiding of any condition or precipitating factor which can be closely monitored with multiorgan failure is protocolised, and patients can therefore it should rely on enhanced care or Current treatment consists of supportive measures, At present, there is no ACLF-specific treatment. 

MANAGEMENT

Table 3 Summary of predicting factors

| High levels of bilirubin | Gustot et al[104], López-Velázquez et al[106], Cordoba et al[96] |
| Age and high INR | Shi et al[103], Cordoba et al[96], Garg et al[104], Kumar et al[101], Moreau et al[102] |
| Decreased serum thyroid-stimulation hormone (TSH) levels | Wu et al[97] |
| Low free T3 levels | Agiasatelli et al[107] |
| Systemic haemodynamic changes | Garg et al[104] |
| Iron metabolism and transport | Maras et al[98] |
| Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NRL) | Lin et al[99], Liu et al[101] |
| Presence of SIRS | Katoonizadeh et al[127], Thabut et al[106] |
| Infection and sepsis | Sargenti et al[103], Bruns et al[104], Linderoth et al[101] |

No well-established prognostic indicators are available for predicting ACLF outcome. Ambiguity in the diagnostic criteria has limited researches into the identification of clear indicators of severity. According to diverse population under study and definition of the syndrome, different indicators have been proposed. ACLF: Acute-on-chronic liver failure; INR: International normalized ratio.

Many studies suggest that HE is associated with higher mortality, especially in those with grade III - IV encephalopathy. This association is highlighted by the incorporation of HE to modified scores [i.e., integrated-MELD (iMELD) score] with the aim of improving its predictive value. In a recent study from Shi et al[99] when compared ACLF precipitated by hepatic insults to those precipitated by extrahepatic ones, the latter group had significantly higher 90-d and 1-year mortality; however, both groups had comparably high short-term mortality. This study also, demonstrates that the iMELD score may be a better predictor for hepatic-ACLF short-term prognosis, whereas CLIF-C-ACLF might be more beneficial for extrahepatic-ACLF patients. This novel score incorporates age and HE into MELD score, both, strong predictors of prognosis in hepatic-ACLF patients. The iMELD score has better predictive value of 3-mo mortality than the original MELD, SOFA, CLIF-SOFA and CPs in HBV-ACLF patients.

Recently, Wu et al[104] established and validated a new score to predict mortality risk in patients with HBV-ACLF. This score named “ALPH-Q score”, integrates electrocardiography parameters, age, liver cirrhosis, prothrombin time and HE greater performance than CPs, MELD, and Logistic regression model (LRM) for predicting short-term mortality of patients with HBV-ACLF.

Many other factors summarized at Table 3 had been described.

Liver support devices and liver transplantation

Liver support devices: When medical treatment fails, artificial liver support can be considered as a bridge therapy to liver transplantation or while the precipitating event is reversed. Yet organ shortage, cost, complications and side effects associated with immunosuppression, strongly limit this option. Furthermore, unstable clinical conditions of patients with ACLF are often contraindications for liver transplant.

Two types of devices can be distinguished; acellular devices such as albumin dialysis and plasma exchange [mainly molecular adsorbents recirculating system (MARS), and Prometheus devices], and cell-based devices, which incorporate cells from human, animal sources, or immortalized cells. The use of liver-assisting devices is based on their ability to remove toxic substances, inflammatory molecules, reduce NO, improve systemic hemodynamics and severe HE. However, two prospective randomised studies, the RELIEF Study Group and the HELIOS
Study Group compared treatment with conventional therapy to MARS or to Prometheus, respectively, failed to show any survival benefit, despite improvement on biochemical parameters[167,168]. In contrast, Xu et al[169] and Ling et al[170] found that downgrading MELD in ACLF using these systems therapies improved the outcomes after liver transplantation.

Therefore, although these systems have some beneficial effects in patients with ACLF, their overall usefulness in this setting is uncertain. Until today, given the lack of acquiring a strict definition of ACLF, has undoubtedly made prospective studies in this field more difficult. Consensus on definition is needed to perform clinical trials able to translate liver assist devices application to a survival benefit in patients with ACLF[168,171-173].

Liver transplantation: Available information on liver transplantation for ACLF patients’ is scarce, even though this represents the only definitive therapeutic option for the vast majority of patients with ACLF[174,175]. Nonetheless, as mentioned above, numerous reasons, including advanced age, active alcoholism, uncontrolled infections, concomitant diseases, and the presence of associated OFs, make patients with ACLF often unsuitable to undergo transplantation.

ACLF is associated with high short-term mortality rates of 50% to 90% and may evolve rapidly into a fatal clinical situation, thus the timeframe for evaluating patients and assessing them for LT is short[12,176,177]. More than 50% of the listed ACLF patients died on the waiting list which further demonstrates that the time period to transplantation is crucial, and that the window of opportunity is small[178]. Where the time of transplantation is a critical element in the patient’s prognosis, living donor transplantation is an attractive alternative, since there are no waiting list constraints, and long-term survival has been shown to be comparable to living donor transplant[179-182]. There are limited evidences regarding the long-term outcome of patients transplanted for ACLF. Some studies showed similar survival rates of patients with ACLF to patients with chronic liver disease who underwent transplantation for other indications[174,178,183]. When interpreting these data sets, differences between western and eastern transplant centers must be taken into consideration.

Further studies are still necessary to determine timing of liver transplantation, optimal selection, and whether ACLF patients should be prioritized on a high-urgency list.

Antiviral therapy in ACLF
Antiviral therapy deserves particular mention due to the relevance of reactivation of HBV among aetiologies of ACLF in the Asia-Pacific region, where hepatitis-B-related cirrhosis constitutes around 70% of the underlying chronic liver diseases[30,105]. Furthermore, a large number of HBV-ACLF cases do not have underlying cirrhosis, as evidenced APASL ACLF Research Consortium (AARC) data based on the liver biopsy studies[184].

The aim of antiviral treatment for HBV-ACLF is to reduce viral DNA, so that reduction in hepatocyte cell death, helps prevent compensation related multiorgan complications, and thereby improves survival outcomes[185-188]. Early treatment with nucleos(t)ide analogues such as lamivudine, tenofovir, entecavir or telbuvudine should be started[188-190]. Low pretreatment HBV DNA load and a rapid decrement in viral load improves outcomes in ACLF[191-194]. Some studies suggest that initial combination antiviral therapy is more effective than monotherapy[195-197].

New therapeutic targets
A few recent studies have tested the possibility of liver regeneration in a small group of patients with ACLF using granulocyte-colony stimulating factor therapy[192,198-201]. This cytokine mobilises bone marrow-derived stem cells and they restore neutrophil function, promotes hepatic regeneration, and thereby reducing the risk of developing kidney, or brain failure, and sepsis and thus improving survival of patients with ACLF. More studies are needed to provide clearer evidence.

Other proposed therapy for patients with ACLF, has been cell transplantation, either using hepatocytes or stem cells, to improve liver function thought cell repopulation of the liver and their potential anti-inflammatory effects, but again, these results await confirmation[199-205].

CONCLUSION
ACLF is a devastating syndrome since it remains a highly prevalent, life-threatening disease, which is clinically, pathophysiological and prognostically a distinct entity from a mere decompensation of cirrhosis. In ACLF, altered host response to precipitating injury plays a pivotal pathophysiological role, such as SIRS. The degree of background immune paralysis and severity of OF determine the outcome of this syndrome. Ambiguity and variability among researcher groups on definitions criteria hampers precise characterization of this entity. Considerable efforts have been made to delve into the knowledge of this syndrome. Despite the progress, especially in pathophysiology, several questions remain: Fist, treatment strategies are currently limited to organ support; thereby a better understanding of underlying mechanisms will allow the development of new drugs and devices. Second, the absence of consensus on diagnostic criteria hampers the recognition of biomarkers and factors determining the outcome.

Third, the most ambitious goal is, probably, the early recognition of this syndrome, in order to implement strategies to avoid the development of OF owing to
the reversibility of this profile of liver failure. Finally, a universally accepted definition is urgently needed.
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